

Date: 14 September 2020
Our ref: 322062, 322464 – Case ID 15425
Your ref: CCCQ NP (March 2020)



Mr S. Carter
Spatial Policy
Regeneration, Economy and Growth
4/24-35 County Hall
Durham
DH1 5UZ
stuart.carter@durham.gov.uk

Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Mr. Carter

Planning Consultation: Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening Opinion for Cassop-cum-Quarrington (Pre-Submission Draft) Neighbourhood Plan - proposal under Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 and the Localism Act 2011

Thank you for your consultation on the above dated 16 July 2020 which was received by Natural England on the same date.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Screening Request - Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA)

Natural England notes that your authority, as competent authority under the provisions of the Habitats Regulations, has screened Cassop-cum-Quarrington Neighbourhood Plan – Pre-Submission Draft (March 2020) ('the Plan') to check for the likelihood of significant effects on European sites. The Pre-Submission Draft screening report (March 2020) concluded that as the Plan "does not allocate land for development" and "policies within the plan will not lead to built development" it "will not incur likely significant effects to Natura 2000 Sites." As such "Appropriate Assessment is not required" (as the Plan will have no negative effects on the relevant Natura 2000 sites and the policies as drafted seek to protect the natural environment).

Natural England agree with the conclusion of the screening assessment and note that the Plan does not allocate land for development.

Screening Request: Strategic Environmental Assessment

It is our advice, on the basis of the material supplied with the consultation, that, in so far as our strategic environmental interests (including but not limited to statutory designated sites, landscapes and protected species, geology and soils) are concerned, that there are unlikely to be significant environmental effects from the proposed plan.

Natural England agree with the conclusion of the SEA screening report.

Neighbourhood Plan

Guidance on the assessment of Neighbourhood Plans, in light of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 (as amended), is contained within the [National Planning Practice Guidance](#). The guidance highlights three triggers that may require the production of an SEA, for instance where:

- a neighbourhood plan allocates sites for development
- the neighbourhood area contains sensitive natural or heritage assets that may be affected by the proposals in the plan
- the neighbourhood plan may have significant environmental effects that have not already been considered and dealt with through a sustainability appraisal of the Local Plan.

We have checked our records and based on the information provided, we can confirm that in our view the proposals contained within the plan will not have significant effects on sensitive sites that Natural England has a statutory duty to protect.

We are not aware of significant populations of protected species which are likely to be affected by the policies / proposals within the plan. It remains the case, however, that the responsible authority should provide information supporting this screening decision, sufficient to assess whether protected species are likely to be affected.

Notwithstanding this advice, Natural England does not routinely maintain locally specific data on all potential environmental assets. As a result the responsible authority should raise environmental issues that we have not identified on local or national biodiversity action plan species and/or habitats, local wildlife sites or local landscape character, with its own ecological and/or landscape advisers, local record centre, recording society or wildlife body on the local landscape and biodiversity receptors that may be affected by this plan, before determining whether an SA/SEA is necessary.

Please note that Natural England reserves the right to provide further comments on the environmental assessment of the plan beyond this SEA/SA screening stage, should the responsible authority seek our views on the scoping or environmental report stages. This includes any third party appeal against any screening decision you may make.

Please find additional advice in Annex A below, for information regarding Biodiversity Net Gain and wider environmental gains that can be afforded through development plan policies.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter only please contact Carolyn Simpson on 020 80265319. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.

Yours sincerely

Carolyn Simpson
Northumbria Area Team

Annex A

Biodiversity net gain

General advice and benefits of embedding biodiversity net gain

Biodiversity net gain is a key tool to help nature's recovery and is also fundamental to health and wellbeing as well as creating attractive and sustainable places to live and work in. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) highlights the role of '*policies and decision making to minimise impacts and provide net gains for biodiversity*' (para 170).

Planning Practice Guidance describes net gain as an '*approach to development that leaves the natural environment in a measurably better state than it was beforehand*' and applies to both biodiversity net gain and wider environmental net gains. For biodiversity net gain, the Biodiversity Metric 2.0, can be used to measure gains and losses to biodiversity resulting from development. We advise you to use this metric to implement development plan policies on biodiversity net gain. Any action, as a result of development, that creates or enhances habitat features can be measured using the metric and as a result count towards biodiversity net gain.

The Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, along with partners, has developed 'good practice principles' for biodiversity net gain, which can assist plan-making authorities in gathering evidence and developing policy.

Advice on wider environmental gains

Natural England focusses our advice on embedding biodiversity net gain in development plans, since the approach is better developed than for wider environmental gains. However your authority should consider the requirements of the NPPF (paragraph 72, 102, 118 and 170) and seek opportunities for wider environmental net gain wherever possible. This can be achieved by considering how policies and proposed allocations can contribute to wider environment enhancement, help adapt to the impacts of climate change and/or take forward elements of existing green infrastructure, open space of biodiversity strategies. Opportunities for environmental gains, including nature based solutions to help adapt to climate chance, might include.

- Identifying opportunities for new multi-functional green and blue infrastructure.
- Managing existing and new public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips) and climate resilient
- Planting trees, including street trees, characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape.
- Improving access and links to existing greenspace, identifying improvements to the existing public right of way network or extending the network to create missing footpath or cycleway links.
- Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. a hedgerow or stone wall or clearing away an eyesore)
- Designing a scheme to encourage wildlife, for example by ensuring lighting does not pollute areas of open space or existing habitats.

Any habitat creation and/or enhancement as a result of the above may also deliver a measurable biodiversity net gain.

Evidence gathering

Existing environmental evidence can be gathered from various sources including online data sources like MAGIC, Local Environmental Record Centres (LERCs) and strategies for green infrastructure, open space provision, landscape character, climate and ecosystem services and biodiversity opportunity mapping. Biodiversity data can also be obtained from developments that were subject to Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Monitoring, the discharge of conditions or monitoring information from legal agreements with a biodiversity element. This can help establish a baseline to understand what assets exist and how they may relate to wider objectives in the plan area. Cross boundary environmental opportunities can also be considered by working with neighbouring authorities, local nature partnership and/or the local enterprise partnership.

The relationship between environmental assets and key strategic growth areas may help to highlight potential opportunities that development could bring for the natural environment. The following may also be useful when considering biodiversity priorities in your plan area:

- What biodiversity currently exists, what is vulnerable or declining?
- How are existing assets connected, are there opportunities to fill gaps and improve connectivity?
- How does the above relate to neighbouring authority areas, can you work collaboratively to improve links between assets or take strategic approaches to address issues or opportunities?